# Investing with cryptocurrencies - A liquidity constrained investment approach

Simon Trimborn

Mingyang Li

Wolfgang Karl Härdle

Ladislaus von Bortkiewicz Chair of Statistics Humboldt–Universität zu Berlin Department of Statistics and Applied Probability National University of Singapore Xiamen University http://lvb.wiwi.hu-berlin.de https://www.stat.nus.edu.sg http://wise.xmu.edu.cn





### The emergence of cryptocurrencies

- 🖸 Satoshi Nakamoto found Bitcoin in 2009
- □ 1178 cryptos (20.10.2017)
- Market cap: 170 billion USD
- ☑ 24h trading volume: 3.5 billion USD
- Community driven currencies
- ☑ Source codes public



#### Low correlation: Diversification

	втс	ETH	XRP	LTC	DASH
USD/EUR	-0.05	-0.04	0.04	-0.06	-0.01
JPY/USD	0.02	-0.04	-0.03	-0.04	0.09
USD/GBP	-0.06	-0.09	0.04	-0.09	-0.01
Gold	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05	-0.01
SP 500	0.00	-0.05	0.05	-0.05	0.02
XWD	0.01	-0.03	0.02	-0.07	0.03
EEM	0.00	-0.09	0.04	-0.09	0.00
REIT	0.03	-0.09	0.04	0.05	0.00
DTB3	0.02	0.09	0.00	0.02	0.03
DGS10	-0.02	-0.08	0.00	-0.02	0.01

Table 1: Correlations between cryptos and conventional financial assets: 3 exchange rates, gold, 3 stock indices, real estate and the US Treasury Bills Rates.





#### Benefit of crypto investment: high return



#### Challenge I: high risk



Figure 2: Cryptocurrencies have higher volatilities than stocks, highlighting the importance of risk management when investing on them **Q**LIBRObox1



1 - 4

#### Challenge II: low trading volume

Compare median trading volume



Figure 3: Cryptocurrencies have much lower trading volume compared to traditional assets **Q**LIBRObox2



#### Investment strategies

- 🖸 Volatility based: Markowitz
- 🖸 Quantile & shrinkage: TEDAS
- ☑ LASSO: Smaller tracking portfolios

But:

- Perfect liquidity is assumed
- Might not hold in crypto markets



Liquidity measures

Cryptocurrency investment literature

## Challenges

- Adding low liquidity cryptocurrencies into standard portfolio
- Investment portfolios under liquidity restrictions
- How to measure liquidity?
- Errors due to chosen liquidity measure?



# Outline

- 1. Motivation  $\checkmark$
- 2. Optimization method
- 3. Data
- 4. Empirical results
- 5. Appendix
  - Bibliography
  - Effects of constraints



# **Optimization problem**

Target optimization problem:

$$\begin{array}{l} \min \ w^{\top} \widehat{\Sigma} w \qquad (1) \\ \text{s.t. } \mathbf{1}_{p}^{\top} w = 1, \ ||w||_{1} \leq 1, \\ w \leq \frac{1}{M} \cdot \widehat{Liq} = \widehat{a}, \end{array}$$

$$\widehat{Liq} = (TV_{1} \cdot f_{1}, \cdots, TV_{N} \cdot f_{N})^{\top} \\ \widehat{\Sigma}: \text{ estimated covariance matrix} \\ w = (w_{1}, w_{2}, \cdots, w_{p})^{\top}: \text{ weight on assets} \\ \mathbf{1}_{p}^{\top} = (1, 1, \cdots, 1)_{(1 \times p)} \\ M: \text{ investment amount} \end{array}$$

Effect of constraints

•

Investing with cryptocurrencies -



2-1

#### **Data Information**

- 39 crypto currencies
- □ S&P100 component (102 stocks)
- DAX30 component (30 stocks)
- Portugal stock index (PSI) component (46 stocks)
- ⊡ 2014-04-01 to 2017-03-20



#### DAX30 & Cryptos



4-1

**B**RIX

#### Weights on cryptos under target returns

ī	with S&P100		with DAX30		with PSI	
$(\times 10^{-4})$	BTC	ALT	BTC	ALT	BTC	ALT
0	0.007	0.046	0.000	0.137	0.000	0.068
1	0.007	0.046	0.000	0.137	0.000	0.068
2	0.007	0.046	0.000	0.137	0.000	0.068
3	0.007	0.047	0.000	0.137	-0.000	0.070
4	0.006	0.052	0.000	0.137	-0.000	0.073
5	0.006	0.056	0.000	0.137	0.000	0.075
6	0.005	0.061	0.000	0.137	0.000	0.078
7	0.004	0.068	0.000	0.137	-0.000	0.081
8	0.002	0.075	0.000	0.137	0.000	0.085
9	-0.000	0.081	0.000	0.138	0.000	0.088
10	0.000	0.087	0.000	0.142	-0.000	0.091

Table 2: Weights on cryptocurrencies in-sample given different target return. "ALT" refers to total weight on altcoins. Investing with cryptocurrencies

#### DAX30 & Cryptos

Out-of-sample performance of DAX30 stocks with/without cryptos



Figure 5: — with cryptos — without cryptos, no liquidity constraint applied QLIBROoutsample



#### DAX30 & Cryptos

Out-of-sample performance of DAX30 stocks with/without cryptos under liquidity constraints and investment amount 10,000,000



Figure 6: — with cryptos — without cryptos, liquidity constraint with M = 10,000,000 QLIBROoutsample • S&P and PSI Investing with cryptocurrencies — ©Rix

# Conclusion

- We propose LIBRO: Llquidity Bounded Risk-return Optimization
- ⊡ Including cryptos can provide better risk-return trade off
- □ Cryptos beside Bitcoin matter for portfolio optimization
- Better on less developed markets (see Appendix)
- □ LIBRO even enhances results in traditional markets



Investing with cryptocurrencies - A liquidity constrained investment approach

Simon Trimborn Mingyang Li Wolfgang Karl Härdle

Ladislaus von Bortkiewicz Chair of Statistics Humboldt–Universität zu Berlin Department of Statistics and Applied Probability National University of Singapore Xiamen University http://lvb.wiwi.hu-berlin.de https://www.stat.nus.edu.sg http://wise.xmu.edu.cn







# Bibliography I

- Hermann Elendner, Simon Trimborn, Bobby Ong and Teik Ming Lee (2017)
   The Cross-Section of Cryptocurrencies as Financial Assets
   Handbook of Digital Finance and Financial Inclusion:
   Cryptocurrency, FinTech, InsurTech, and Regulation. Ed. by D.
   Lee Kuo Chuen and R. Deng. Vol. 1. Elsevier
- David Yermack (2015)
  - Is Bitcoin a Real Currency? An Economic Appraisal David K.C. Lee ed., The Handbook of Digital Currency (Elsevier, 2015), 31-44.



# Bibliography II



Journal of Asset Management 16.6, pp. 365-373



# Bibliography III

Simon Trimborn and Wolfgang Karl Härdle (2017) CRIX an Index for cryptocurrencies SFB 649 Economic Risk Discussion Paper, revise and resubmit Journal of Empirical Finance

Wolfgang Karl Härdle and Simon Trimborn (2015) CRIX or evaluating Blockchain based currencies Oberwolfach Report No. 42/2015 "The Mathematics and Statistics of Quantitative Risk".

Back to high return



# Bibliography IV

 Shi Chen, Cathy Yi-Hsuan Chen, Wolfgang Karl Härdle, Bobby Ong and Teik Ming Lee (2017)
 Econometric Analysis of a Cryptocurrency Index for Portfolio Investment
 Handbook of Digital Finance and Financial Inclusion: Cryptocurrency, FinTech, InsurTech, and Regulation. Ed. by D. Lee Kuo Chuen and R. Deng. Vol. 1. Elsevier



#### **Constrained Portfolios I**

Define

$$R(w) = w^{\top} \Sigma w \quad R_n(w) = w^{\top} \widehat{\Sigma} w$$

Let

$$w_{opt,a} = \arg \min_{w^{\top}1=1,\mu^{\top}w \ge \bar{r},||w||_1 \le c,w \le a} R(w)$$
$$\widehat{w}_{opt,\hat{a}} = \arg \min_{w^{\top}1=1,\mu^{\top}w \ge \bar{r},||w||_1 \le c,w \le \hat{a}} R_n(w)$$
$$b_n = ||\widehat{\Sigma} - \Sigma||_{\infty}$$
$$\widehat{a} = a + \varepsilon$$

▶ Back

Investing with cryptocurrencies ———



- 5-5

# Constrained Portfolios II

Fan et al. (2012):

$$|R(w_{opt,a}) - R_n(\widehat{w}_{opt,a})| \leq b_n c^2$$

Define:

$$\begin{split} w_{opt,a} &\leq a \\ w_{opt,\widehat{a}} &\leq \widehat{a} \\ a &= w_{opt,a} + \delta_1, \quad \delta_1 \text{ slack variable} \\ \widehat{a} &= w_{opt,\widehat{a}} + \delta_2, \quad \delta_2 \text{ slack variable} \\ w_{opt,\widehat{a}} &= w_{opt,a} + \delta_1 - \delta_2 + \varepsilon \end{split}$$

Back

Investing with cryptocurrencies -----



## Constrained Portfolios III

Consider  $\delta_2 = 0$ :

○ All estimated weights are at boundary

$$\begin{aligned} |R_n(\widehat{w}_{opt,a}) - R_n(\widehat{w}_{opt,\hat{a}})| &= |\widehat{w}_{opt,\hat{a}}^\top \widehat{\Sigma} \varepsilon| \\ |R(w_{opt,a}) - R_n(\widehat{w}_{opt,\hat{a}})| &\leq |\widehat{w}_{opt,\hat{a}}^\top \widehat{\Sigma} \varepsilon| + b_n c^2 \\ A &= |\widehat{w}_{opt,\hat{a}}^\top \widehat{\Sigma} \varepsilon| \end{aligned}$$

- $\boxdot$  A bigger when  $\varepsilon > 0$  than if  $\varepsilon < 0$
- Overestimation of a causes smaller upper bound for error
- $\boxdot$   $\varepsilon$  bounded by the choice of c

#### Back



#### **Constrained Portfolios IV**

$$\begin{aligned} |R_n(\widehat{w}_{opt,a}) - R_n(\widehat{w}_{opt,\hat{a}})| \\ &= |\widehat{w}_{opt,a}^\top \widehat{\Sigma} \widehat{w}_{opt,a} - \widehat{w}_{opt,\hat{a}}^\top \widehat{\Sigma} \widehat{w}_{opt,\hat{a}}| \\ &= |(\widehat{w}_{opt,a} + \delta_1)^\top \widehat{\Sigma} (\widehat{w}_{opt,a} + \delta_1) \\ &- (\widehat{w}_{opt,a} + \delta_1 - \delta_2 + \varepsilon)^\top \widehat{\Sigma} (\widehat{w}_{opt,a} + \delta_1 - \delta_2 + \varepsilon)| \\ &= |(\widehat{w}_{opt,a} + \delta_1)^\top \widehat{\Sigma} (\delta_2 - \varepsilon) - \delta_2^\top \widehat{\Sigma} (\delta_2 - \varepsilon) + \varepsilon^\top \widehat{\Sigma} (\delta_2 - \varepsilon)| \end{aligned}$$

Situation of interest:

$$\boxdot$$
 Any  $arepsilon_i > 0$  and any  $\delta_{2,i} = 0$ 

Then a weight is at a non optimal point

#### Back



### **Constrained Portfolios V**

Consider  $\delta_2 = 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &|-(\widehat{w}_{opt,a} + \delta_1)^\top \widehat{\Sigma} \varepsilon - \varepsilon^\top \widehat{\Sigma} \varepsilon| \\ &= |-(a + \varepsilon)^\top \widehat{\Sigma} \varepsilon| \\ &= |-\widehat{w}_{opt,\hat{a}}^\top \widehat{\Sigma} \varepsilon| \end{aligned}$$



# **Constrained Portfolios VI**

$$\begin{aligned} &|R(w_{opt,a}) - R_n(\widehat{w}_{opt,\widehat{a}})| \\ = &|R(w_{opt,a}) - R_n(\widehat{w}_{opt,a}) + R_n(\widehat{w}_{opt,a}) - R_n(\widehat{w}_{opt,\widehat{a}})| \\ \leq &|R(w_{opt,a}) - R_n(\widehat{w}_{opt,a})| + |R_n(\widehat{w}_{opt,a}) - R_n(\widehat{w}_{opt,\widehat{a}})| \\ \leq &b_n c^2 + |(\widehat{w}_{opt,a} + \delta_1)^\top \widehat{\Sigma}(\delta_2 - \varepsilon) - \delta_2^\top \widehat{\Sigma}(\delta_2 - \varepsilon) + \varepsilon^\top \widehat{\Sigma}(\delta_2 - \varepsilon)| \end{aligned}$$

▶ Back



## Implications for application

- $\square$  Range of  $\varepsilon$  bounded by c
- ☑ Proper choice of c sets upper bound
- Divergence in volatility risk increases, but liquidity risk controlled for
- Trade-off between two risk sources increases volatility risk of portfolio

▶ Back





5-12

**B**RIX

#### **PSI component & Cryptos**





🞯 RİX

#### S&P100 & Cryptos

Out-of-sample performance of S&P100 stocks with/without cryptos



Figure 9: — with cryptos — without cryptos, assets on the right of the black dash line are cryptos QLIBROoutsample



#### S&P100 & Cryptos

Out-of-sample performance of S&P100 stocks with/without cryptos under liquidity constraints and investment amount 10,000,000



Figure 10: — with cryptos — without cryptos, assets on the right of the black dash line are cryptos QLIBROoutsample
Back
Investing with cryptocurrencies — ©Rix

#### **PSI component & Cryptos**

Out-of-sample performance of Portugal stocks with/without cryptos



Figure 11: — with cryptos — without cryptos, assets on the right of the black dash line are cryptos QLIBROoutsample



#### **PSI component & Cryptos**

Out-of-sample performance of Portugal stocks with/without cryptos under liquidity constraints and investment amount 1,000,000



Figure 12: — with cryptos — without cryptos, assets on the right of the black dash line are cryptos QLIBROoutsample

🕨 Back

Investing with cryptocurrencies



### Liquidity restrictions

- Assets have different liquidity
- Trading volume on 20.10.2017
  - Apple: 6,643,129,896 USD
  - Bitcoin: 1,684,650,000 USD
  - ▶ Ethereum: 332,016,000 USD
- But liquidity is unobservable
- Existing measures:

. . .

- Trading volume
- Amihud measure

#### Back



# Cryptos from an investment viewpoint

- Elendner et al. (2017) & Yermack (2015): Cryptos show low correlation with traditional assets
- Eisl et al. (2015), Briere (2015): Bitcoin improves the risk-return trade-off of portfolios.
- Härdle and Trimborn (2015) & Trimborn and Härdle (2017): Constructing market index for cryptos (CRIX)
- ⊡ Chen et al. (2017): Analyzing dynamics of CRIX

#### ▶ Back

